arXiv:1704.00322v1 [gr-qc] 2 Apr 2017

Fluids, Superfluids and Supersolids: Dynamics and Cosmology of Self-Gravitating Media

Marco Celoria[a], **Denis Comelli**[b], **Luigi Pilo**[c,d]

[a] *Gran Sasso Science Institute (INFN)*
Via Francesco Crispi 7, L'Aquila, I-67100

[b]*INFN, Sezione di Ferrara, I-35131 Ferrara, Italy*

[c]*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di L'Aquila, I-67010 L'Aquila, Italy*

[d]*INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67010 Assergi, Italy*

marco.celoria@gssi.infn.it, comelli@fe.infn.it, luigi.pilo@aquila.infn.it

April 4, 2017

Abstract

We compute cosmological perturbations for a generic self-gravitating media described four derivatively-coupled scalar fields. Depending on the internal scalar's symmetries, one can obtain perfect fluids, superfluids, solid and supersolids media. Symmetries dictate both dynamical and thermodynamical properties of the media. Generically, scalar perturbations include, besides the gravitational potential, an additional non-adiabatic mode associated with fluctuations of the entropy per particle σ. While perfect fluids and solids are adiabatic with σ constant in time, superfluids and supersolids feature a non-trivial dynamics for σ. Special classes of isentropic media with zero σ can also be found. Tensor modes become massive for solid and supersolid. Such an effective approach can be used to give a very general and symmetry driven modeling of the dark sector.

1 Introduction

An impressive amount of data indicates that the Universe is accelerating [1] and, from the theoretical side, a great effort is underway to understand what is driving such a phase [2]. Identifying the content of the dark sector is particularly challenging, thus it is very useful to classify the various alternatives by using symmetries. In our approach the dark sector is modelled as generic self-gravitating media with the only requirement being to admit an isotropic Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) background solution. In the hydrodynamical approximation, it turns out that a generic media can be effectively described by the theory of four derivatively coupled scalar fields. The four scalar fields can be interpreted as comoving coordinates of the medium whose fluctuations represent the Goldstone modes for spacetime translations spontaneously broken by the presence of the medium. The very same scalar fields can be viewed as Stückelberg fields that allow to restore broken diffeomorphisms [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Such effective field theory description has been already considered in [9, 10, 11, 12] for particular type of media. From our analysis it turns out that the internal symmetries of the media action are crucial. Indeed, we will show that dynamical and thermodynamical properties of the medium, which reflect on the form of the energy momentum tensor (EMT) and on the existence of conserved currents, are determined by internal symmetries. Moreover, we present a general and unified analysis showing that in the dynamics of perturbations a crucial role is played by the entropy per particle σ. Media can be conveniently classified, depending on the internal symmetries of the scalar field theory [9, 13, 14, 6] in perfect fluids, superfluids, solids and supersolids. Thermodynamical properties of a medium are studied by creating a dictionary among the operators of the effective field theory and the basic thermodynamical variables, extending to general media the analysis of [15], see also [10, 11].

The main dynamical features of the equations for linear cosmological perturbations can be conveniently analysed by introducing a set of four mass-like parameters $\{M_i\}$ related to first and second derivatives of the Lagrangian density U which resemble the masses used in massive gravity theories [16, 17, 7, 18, 12]. This is not a coincidence: there is a close relationship between massive gravity theories and the physics of self-gravitating media [9, 6]. While the dynamical equations for cosmological perturbations are rather cumbersome when expressed in terms of the fluctuations of the scalar fields, once the entropy per particle σ is introduced, they assume a familiar form with a clear physical interpretation. Generically, in the scalar sector, two dynamical modes exist. The first one is the gravitational potential, and in general adiabatic perturbations exist. The second scalar degree of freedom is the perturbation $\delta\sigma$ of the entropy per particle. There are media, like perfect fluids, where the dynamics of $\delta\sigma$ is very simple: $\delta\sigma$ is conserved in time. For superfluids and supersolids $\delta\sigma$ has a more complicated evolution equation. Thus, a second scalar mode in the cosmological perturbations of a generic media is present and can be unambiguously identified with $\delta\sigma$. Interestingly enough the presence and the dynamical character of $\delta\sigma$ can be elegantly associated with the vanishing of M_1 and M_0. Our analysis also provides the evolution equation in a closed form for curvature perturbation $\mathcal{R}$ allowing the study of the dynamics of perturbations on a superhorizon scales.

The outline of the paper is the following. In Section 2 it is introduced the effective description of a generic rotational invariant medium as the field theory of four derivatively coupled scalar fields. In Section 3 we derive the correspondence between the operators in the effective theory and the basic thermodynamical variables of the medium. Section 4 is devoted to the study of scalar, vector and tensor cosmological perturbations around a FLRW spacetime. In Section 5 the number of propagating degrees of freedom is related to the values of mass parameters in the effective theory. In Section 6 we characterise adiabatic and isentropic media. In Section 7 we study the class of Lagrangians that, at leading order, describes perfect fluids. In Section 8 the same analysis is carried out for superfluids and in Section 9 for

solids. In Section 10 supersolids are discussed, in particular we describe the dynamics of superhorizon scalar modes.

2 Action Principle and Symmetries for Media Lagrangians

Non dissipative media can be described by using the effective field theory of four Stückelberg scalar fields φ^A ($A = 0, 1, 2, 3$), see for instance [9, 10, 6, 15] which can be related to the Goldstone bosons for the spontaneous breaking of spacetime translations [3, 4]. The medium physical properties are encoded in a set of symmetries of the scalar field action selecting, order by order in a derivative expansion, a finite number of operators (see [19, 10, 20, 21] for a next to leading study of the perfect fluid case). At the leading order the fundamental object is

$$C^{AB} = g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi^A\partial_\nu\varphi^B\,; \tag{2.1}$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the spacetime metric. The effective medium action is built assuming diff invariance and internal rotational invariance, namely $\varphi^a \to R^a_b\,\varphi_b\,,\ a, b = 1, 2, 3$; with $\boldsymbol{R} \in SO(3)$. In what follows, we will always use boldface capital letters for three-dimensional spatial matrices. Since the fields φ^a can be interpreted as comoving coordinates, this allows to define a (unique) four-velocity u^μ, through the conditions [22]

$$u^\mu\,\partial_\mu\varphi^a = 0\,, \qquad u^\mu u^\nu g_{\mu\nu} = -1\,, \tag{2.2}$$

whose only solution is

$$u^\mu = -\frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}}{6\,b\sqrt{-g}}\epsilon_{abc}\,\partial_\nu\varphi^a\ \partial_\alpha\varphi^b\,\partial_\beta\varphi^c\ , \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$b \equiv \sqrt{\det\,\boldsymbol{B}}\,, \tag{2.4}$$

and $\boldsymbol{B}$ denotes the 3×3 matrix whose components are $B^{ab} \equiv C^{ab}$. When pulled-back into the spacetime, the medium metric B_{ab} becomes a projector $h_{\mu\nu}$

$$h_{\mu\nu} = B_{ab}\partial_\mu\Phi^a\,\partial_\nu\Phi^b \equiv g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu\,u_\nu\,, \qquad h_{\mu\nu}u^\nu = 0\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, we impose the condition

$$X = C^{00} < 0 \tag{2.6}$$

which allows to define another time-like four-velocity

$$\mathcal{V}^\mu = -\frac{\partial^\mu\Phi^0}{\sqrt{-X}}\,, \qquad\qquad \mathcal{V}^2 = -1\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Following [6], the operators with definite transformation properties under internal rotation built from C^{AB} are listed in Table 1.

The most general action at LO for a medium described by the four φ^A can be constructed in terms of the scalar invariants X, Y, τ_n and y_n with $n = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 0, .., 3$ for a total of nine independent operators [6]

$$S = M_{\rm Pl}^2\int d^4x\,\sqrt{-g}\,R + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,U(X,\,Y,\,\tau_n,\,y_n)\,. \tag{2.8}$$

The energy momentum tensor (EMT) derived from (2.8) is given by (see appendix A for details)

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho\,u_\mu\,u_\nu + (q_\mu\,u_\nu + q_\nu\,u_\nu) + P_{\mu\nu}\,; \tag{2.9}$$

Operator	Definition
C^{AB}	$g^{\mu\nu}\,\partial_\mu\varphi^A\,\partial_\nu\varphi^B\,, \quad A,B=0,1,2,3$
B^{ab}	$g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\varphi^a\,\partial_\mu\varphi^b\,, \quad a,b=1,2,3$
Z^{ab}	$C^{a0}\,C^{b0}$
X	C^{00}
W^{ab}	$B^{ab}-Z^{ab}/X$
b	$\sqrt{\det \boldsymbol{B}}$
Y	$u^\mu\partial_\mu\varphi^0$

Operator	Definition
y_n	$\mathrm{Tr}\,(\boldsymbol{B}^n\cdot\boldsymbol{Z})\,, \quad n=0,1,2,3$
τ_n	$\mathrm{Tr}\,(\boldsymbol{B}^n)\,, \quad n=1,2,3$
w_n	$\mathrm{Tr}\,(\boldsymbol{W}^n)\,, \quad n=0,1,2,3$
$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta n}$	$\left(X/Y^2\right)^\alpha\,\left(y_n/Y^2\right)^\beta\,, \quad \alpha\,,\beta\in\mathbf{R}$
$\mathcal{O}_\alpha$	$\left(X/Y^2\right)^\alpha\,, \quad \alpha\in\mathbb{R}$

Table 1: *Operators summary. Greek letters for spacetime indices, capital Latin letters* $(A,B,\ldots=0,1,2,3)$ *for indices in the internal spacetime of the medium and small Latin letters* $(a,b,\ldots=1,2,3)$ *for spatial indices of the medium [6].*

with

$$\rho=u^\alpha\,T_{\alpha\beta}\,u^\beta,\quad q_\mu=-h^\alpha_\mu\,T_{\alpha\beta}\,u^\beta,\quad P_{\mu\nu}=T^{\alpha\beta}h_{\mu\alpha}h_{\nu\beta}=p\,h_{\mu\nu}-2\,U_X\,\xi_\mu\,\xi_\nu+\pi_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\xi_\mu=h_{\mu\nu}\,\partial^\nu\Phi^0=Y\,u_\mu-\sqrt{-X}\,\mathcal{V}_\mu \tag{2.11}$$

is related to the relative velocity of the superfluid/supersolid component with respect to the normal component [11, 23]; ρ is the energy density, p the dynamical isotropic pressure [22], q_μ the heat flux vector, with $q_\mu u^\mu=0$, and $\pi_{\mu\nu}$ is the anisotropic stress tensor satisfying $\pi^\mu{}_\mu=u^\mu\pi_{\mu\nu}=0$. Pressure and energy density are crucial to give a thermodynamical interpretation to the operators in the EFT [15]. Moreover, the conservation of the EMT, $\nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu}=0$, is equivalent to equations of motion of the scalar fields.

By imposing further symmetries besides internal rotational invariance, media can be characterised according to Table 2. For a detailed analysis see [6]. One can also consider media with reduced internal dimensionality for which only the fields φ^a are relevant. For instance, $U(b)$ describes a perfect fluid while $U(\tau_1,\tau_2,\tau_2)$ is a solid.

3 Conserved Currents and Thermodynamics

There are two conserved current that are important for the analysis that we will carry out [1]

$$\begin{aligned}&J_\mu=b\,u_\mu\,,\qquad \nabla^\mu J_\mu=0\,;\\ &\mathcal{J}_\mu=U_Y\,u_\mu-2\,\sqrt{-X}\,U_X\,\mathcal{V}_\mu+2\,\sum_{n=0}^{3}\,U_{y_n}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}^n\,\cdot\partial_\mu\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,,\qquad \nabla^\mu\,\mathcal{J}_\mu=0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

While J_μ is conserved off shell, $\mathcal{J}_\mu$ is the Noether current associated to the global shift symmetry of the φ^0 field. The scalar fields equations of motion are equivalent to the conservation of the shift symmetry currents and in turn to the conservation of the EMT [24].

[1] Actually there are more then two conserved currents, see [6] for a full discussion.

Four-dimensional media		
Symmetries of the action	**LO scalar operators**	**Type of medium**
$SO(3)_s \quad \& \quad \varphi^A \to \varphi^A + f^A\,, \quad \partial_\mu f^A = 0$	X, Y, τ_n, y_n	supersolids
$\varphi^a \to \varphi^a + f^a(\varphi^0)$	X, w_n	
$\varphi^0 \to \varphi^0 + f(\varphi^0)$	τ_n, w_n, $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta n}$	
$\varphi^a \to \varphi^a + f^a(\varphi^0)$ & $\varphi^0 \to \varphi^0 + f(\varphi^0)$	w_n	
$\varphi^0 \to \varphi^0 + f(\varphi^a)$	Y, τ_n	solids
V_sDiff: $\varphi^a \to \Psi^a(\varphi^b)\,, \quad \det\lvert\partial\Psi^a/\partial\varphi^b\rvert = 1$	b, Y, X	superfluids
$\varphi^0 \to \varphi^0 + f(\varphi^0)$ & V_sDiff	b, $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$	
$\varphi^0 \to \varphi^0 + f(\varphi^a)$ & V_sDiff	b, Y	perfect fluid
$\varphi^A \to \Psi^A(\varphi^B)\,, \quad \det\lvert\partial\Psi^A/\partial\varphi^B\rvert = 1$	$b\,Y$	perfect fluid with $\rho + p = 0$

Table 2: *Summary of local symmetries in material spacetime and the corresponding invariant scalar operators. Invariance under $SO(3)_s$ and shift symmetries are assumed by default in all cases [6].*

By using (A.1, A.2) and (2.10), the energy density ρ and the isotropic pressure p in the u^μ frame and the heat flux are given by

$$\rho = -U + Y\,U_Y - 2\,Y^2\,U_X\,; \tag{3.2}$$

$$p = U - b\,U_b - \frac{2}{3}\,\sum_n\,n\,\tau_n\,U_{\tau_n} - \frac{2}{3}\,\sum_{n=0}^{3}\,(n+2)\,y_n\,U_{y_n}\,; \tag{3.3}$$

$$q_\mu = 2\,Y\,\left[\sum_{n=0}^{3} U_{y_n}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}^n\cdot\partial_\mu\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \,U_X\,\xi_\mu\right]\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Extending the very same reasoning in [15] to general media, the particle number density n and the entropy density s can be associated to the projection of the conserved currents in the u^μ frame

$$n = -J_\alpha\,u^\alpha = b, \qquad s = -\mathcal{J}_\alpha\,u^\alpha = U_Y - 2\,Y\,U_X\,; \tag{3.5}$$

as a result, the full entropy current (3.1) can be written as

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu = s\,u_\mu + 2\,U_X\,\xi_\mu + 2\,\sum_{n=0}^{3}\,U_{y_n}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}^n\cdot\partial_\mu\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,= s\,u_\mu + \frac{q_\mu}{Y}; \tag{3.6}$$

An important quantity in the dynamics of self gravitating media is the entropy per particle $\sigma = s/n$, whose evolution can be obtained from the conservation of J_μ and $\mathcal{J}_\mu$

$$u^\alpha\nabla_\alpha\sigma = -\frac{2}{b}\,\nabla^\alpha\,\left[\,U_X\,\xi_\alpha + \,\sum_{n=0}^{3}\,U_{y_n}\,\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}\cdot\boldsymbol{B}^n\cdot\partial_\alpha\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right] = -\frac{1}{b}\,\nabla^\alpha\,\left(\frac{q_\alpha}{Y}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, for media described by the operators X and y_n (as superfluids and supersolids), σ is not conserved. For perfect fluids, described by $U(b, Y)$, σ is conserved as expected.

The presence of a superfluid/supersolid component requires at least an additional thermodynamical variable [25, 26, 11, 27, 24], $\xi \equiv \sqrt{\xi_\mu \xi^\mu}$, related to the modulus of a space like vector ξ_μ, namely

$$\xi = \sqrt{X + Y^2}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Indeed, thermodynamics of media can be obtained by extending the reasoning in [15], i.e. by considering as fundamental thermodynamical variables the densities n, s and ξ and the conjugate variables μ, T and η [23, 28]. To build a correct thermodynamical dictionary, the operators b, Y, X, τ_i and y_n have to be considered as functions of the thermodynamical variables in a way that the first principle

$$d\rho = T\, ds + \mu\, dn + \eta\, d\xi\,, \tag{3.9}$$

and the Euler relation in term of the thermodynamical pressure P

$$\rho + P = T\, s + \mu\, n + \eta\, \xi\,. \tag{3.10}$$

holds in agreement with (3.2) and (3.5). The Gibbs-Duhem relation in this case takes the form

$$dP = s\, dT + n\, d\mu + \xi\, d\eta\,. \tag{3.11}$$

The thermodynamical pressure P can be derived from the dynamical one p (3.3) by a Legendre transform with respect to ξ, namely

$$P = p + \eta\, \xi = U - b\, U_b - \frac{2}{3}\, \sum_n\, n\, \tau_n\, U_{\tau_n} - 2\, (X + Y^2)\, U_X - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{n=0}^{3} (n+2)\, y_n\, U_{y_n}\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Thus, the Euler relation and Gibbs-Duhem in term of p read [11]

$$\rho + p = T\, s + \mu\, n\,, \qquad dp = s\, dT + n\, d\mu - \eta\, d\xi\,. \tag{3.13}$$

One can show that this is the case when

$$\begin{aligned} &s = U_Y - 2\, Y\, U_X\,, && T = Y\,, \\ &n = \left(\tfrac{\tau_\ell}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2\ell}} = \left(\tfrac{y_m}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2(m+2)}} = b\,, && \mu = -U_b - 2\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{3} U_{\tau_\ell}\, \ell\, n^{\frac{2\ell}{3}-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{3} U_{y_m} (m+2)\, n^{\frac{2(m+2)}{3}-1}\right] \\ &\xi = \sqrt{X + Y^2}\,, && \eta = -2\, U_X\, \xi\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $\ell = 1, 2, 3$ and $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$.
As far as thermodynamics is concerned, all operators of the EFT can be considered as function of ρ, σ and ξ, thus the 1-form dp can be written in terms of the 1-forms $d\rho$, $d\sigma$ and $d\xi$, namely

$$dp = \left.\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}\right|_{\sigma,\xi} d\rho + \left.\frac{\partial p}{\partial \sigma}\right|_{\rho,\xi} d\sigma + \left.\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi}\right|_{\rho,\sigma} d\xi \tag{3.15}$$

whose coefficients will be explicitly computed in Section 4 at leading order in a FLRW spacetime.

4 Cosmology of Self Gravitating Media

In this section we will study isotropic cosmological solutions and their linear perturbation dynamics. The Einstein equations are of the form

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{R}{2}\, g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{T_{\mu\nu}}{2\, M_{\rm Pl}^2}\,; \tag{4.1}$$

where the EMT is given by (2.9). We consider the spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker FLRW background

$$ds^2 = a(t)^2\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, dx^\mu\, dx^\nu\,; \tag{4.2}$$

where conformal time has been used and $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric. The scalar fields φ^A assume the following background value form compatible with isotropy and homogeneity

$$\bar\varphi^0 = \phi(t), \qquad \bar\varphi^a = x^a\,. \tag{4.3}$$

It is very convenient to introduce a number of mass parameters defined in the so called unitary gauge [2]. In the unitary gauge, the scalar fields fluctuations are gauged away and then their value coincides with the background form (4.3)

$$\varphi^A_{(ug)} = \bar\varphi^A\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus, all perturbations are moved inside the metric

$$g^{(ug)}_{\mu\nu}(t,\,\vec x) = a^2\,\left(\eta_{\mu\nu} + h^{(ug)}_{\mu\nu}(t,\,\vec x)\right)\,. \tag{4.5}$$

The Lagrangian U in the action (2.8) can be expanded up to second order as follows [16, 8, 17, 7, 18]

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-g}\,U \;\equiv\; & \frac{\sqrt{-\bar g}}{2}\,\bar T^{\mu\nu}\,a^2\,h^{(ug)}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{4}\left[\lambda_0^2\,h^{(ug)\,2}_{00} + 2\,\lambda_1^2\,h^{(ug)\,2}_{0i} - 2\,\lambda_4^2\,h^{(ug)}_{00}\,h^{(ug)}_{ii} + \lambda_3^2\,h^{(ug)2}_{ii} - \lambda_2^2\,h^{(ug)\,2}_{ij}\right];\\ & \bar T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{a^2}\,(\bar\rho\,\delta^\mu_0\,\delta^\nu_0\; + \bar p\,\delta^\mu_i\,\delta_{ij}\,\delta^\nu_j)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Where $\bar\rho$ and $\bar p$ are the background values for the energy density and pressure. From the rotational invariance of the background, we see that the quadratic expansion of U is fixed by giving seven time dependent parameters: $\bar\rho,\ \bar p,\ \lambda_{0,1,2,3,4}$. In particular

$$\begin{aligned}\bar\rho &= \frac{U_Y\,\phi'}{a} - \frac{2\,U_X\,\phi'^2}{a^2} - U\,;\\ \bar p &= U - \frac{6\,U_{\tau_3}}{a^6} - \frac{4\,U_{\tau_2}}{a^4} - \frac{U_b}{a^3} - \frac{2\,U_{\tau_1}}{a^2}\,;\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

It is understood that U and its derivatives are computed at the background values of EFT operators which read

$$\bar{\mathcal V}^\mu = \bar u^\mu = \left(\frac{1}{a}\,,\,\vec 0\right)\,,\quad \bar b = \frac{1}{a^3}\,,\quad \bar Y = \frac{\phi'}{a}\,,\quad \bar X = -\left(\frac{\phi'}{a}\right)^2\,,\quad \bar\tau_n = \frac{3}{a^{2\,n}}\,,\qquad \bar y_n = 0\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Notice that at background level we have $\bar\xi = \sqrt{\bar X + \bar Y^2} = 0$.

[2] In the Standard Model, the tree level masses of gauge bosons related to the breaking of $SU(2)\times U(1)$ are defined in the unitary gauge where the would-be Goldstone bosons are set to zero.

It is convenient to introduce the following combinations that will be instrumental to study the dynamics of perturbations

$$M_0 = \frac{\lambda_0^2}{\phi'^3} - \frac{a^4\,\bar{\rho}}{2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2}, \qquad M_1 = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\phi'} - a^4\,\frac{\bar{p}}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}, \qquad M_2 = \lambda_2^2\,\phi' - a^4\,\frac{\bar{p}}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\,, \tag{4.9}$$
$$M_3 = \lambda_3^2\,\phi' - \frac{a^4\,\bar{p}}{2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2}, \qquad M_4 = \frac{\lambda_4^2}{\phi'} - \frac{a^4\,\bar{p}}{2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2}\,.$$

The explicit values of M_i are given in appendix B and their dimension is $[M_i] = 2$. When the Lagrangian U has a global Lorentz invariance around Minkowski (where $\phi' = 1$), at least at quadratic level, the masses λ_i are more constrained and can be expressed in terms of only two parameters A and B as $\lambda_0^2 = A + B$ and $\lambda_{1,2}^2 = -A$, $\lambda_{3,4}^2 = B$. The conservation of the background EMT is equivalent to the equation of motion for $\bar{\varphi}^0 = \phi$

$$\bar{\rho}' = -3\,\mathcal{H}\,(\bar{\rho} + \bar{p}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi'' = \left(1 - 3\,c_b^2\right)\,\mathcal{H}\,\phi'\,. \tag{4.10}$$

From the definitions (4.9) we have

$$c_b^2 = -\frac{M_4}{M_0}\,, \qquad c_s^2 = \frac{2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,\left[3\,M_4^2 + M_0\,(M_2 - 3\,M_3)\right]}{3\,a^4\,M_0\,(\bar{p} + \bar{\rho})}\,. \tag{4.11}$$

The background Einstein equations determine the evolution of the scale factor a

$$\mathcal{H}^2 \equiv \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{a^2\,\bar{\rho}}{6\,M_{\rm Pl}^2}\,, \qquad \mathcal{H}' = -a^2\,\frac{(\bar{\rho} + 3\,\bar{p})}{12\,M_{\rm Pl}^2}\,. \tag{4.12}$$

From the conservation of the currents (3.1) and from (3.7), evaluated at at background level, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{s}' + 3\,\mathcal{H}\,\bar{s} = 0, \quad \bar{n}' + 3\,\mathcal{H}\,\bar{n} = 0\,; \\ &\bar{\sigma} \equiv \frac{\bar{s}}{\bar{n}}, \quad \bar{\sigma}' = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Thus, the entropy per particle is always conserved on a FLRW background which is the consequence of the perfect fluid form of the background EMT. Defining the equation of state parameter $w = \bar{p}/\bar{\rho}$, we have that

$$w' = -3\,\mathcal{H}\,(1 + w)\,(c_s^2 - w)\,; \tag{4.14}$$

where $c_s^2 = \bar{p}\,'/\bar{\rho}\,'$ is precisely the adiabatic sound speed. Notice that when w is constant in time, then $c_s^2 = w$. In the following we will first study the dynamics of perturbations for generic values of M_i. Typically, the vanishing of some of the M_i corresponds to an enhanced internal symmetry. By inspection of (B.1) we get Table 3, where we combine mechanical properties (the structure of the EMT) and peculiar masses M_i values.

4.1 Scalar Perturbations

In the Newtonian gauge, at linear order, the perturbed metric in the scalar sector is given by

$$ds^2 = a^2\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,dx^\mu dx^\nu + 2\,a^2\left[\Psi(t,\,\vec{x})\,dt^2 + \Phi(t,\,\vec{x})\,d\vec{x}^2\right]\,; \tag{4.15}$$

EMT	Lagrangian	Medium	Masses
$q_\mu = 0,\ \Pi_{\mu\nu} = 0$	$U(b,\ Y)$	Perfect Fluid	$M_{1,\,2} = 0$
$q_\mu = 0,\ \Pi_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$	$U(b,\ \tau_n,\ Y)$	Solid	$M_1 = 0$
$q_\mu \neq 0,\ \Pi_{\mu\nu} \propto\ q_\mu\ q_\nu$	$U(b,\ Y,\ X)$	SuperFluid	$M_2 = 0$
$q_\mu \neq 0,\ \Pi_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$	$U(b,\ Y,\ X,\ \tau_n,\ y_n)$	SuperSolid	$M_{1,2} \neq 0$

Table 3: *Media classification according to the EMT tensor properties and the relation with the values of M_i.*

where Φ, Ψ are the two Bardeen potentials [29, 30]. At the background level, the EMT of the medium in a homogeneous and isotropic universe has to take the form of a perfect fluid. A generic perturbed perfect fluid EMT can be written as

$$T^\mu_\nu = (\bar\rho + \delta\rho)\ \bar{\mathfrak{U}}^\mu\ \bar{\mathfrak{U}}_\nu + \bar\rho\ (\delta\mathfrak{U}^\mu\ \bar{\mathfrak{U}}_\nu + \bar{\mathfrak{U}}^\mu\ \delta\mathfrak{U}_\nu) + (\bar p + \delta p)\ \delta^\mu_\nu + \Pi^\mu_\nu \tag{4.16}$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{U}}_\mu = (-a, \vec{0})$ is the background 4-velocity, $\delta\rho,\ \delta p$ are the perturbations of energy density and pressure, while velocity and anisotropic linear scalar perturbations $\delta\mathfrak{U}^\mu$ and Π^μ_ν can be written in terms of two extra scalars v and Ξ defined as[3]

$$\delta\mathfrak{U}_\mu = (a\ \Psi,\ \partial_i v), \qquad \Pi^\mu_\nu \equiv\ \delta^\mu_i\ \delta^i_\nu\ (3\ \nabla^2\delta^j_i - \nabla^i\ \nabla_j)\ \Xi \tag{4.17}$$

In Fourier space, the linear perturbed Einstein equations then read

$$\begin{aligned} a^2\ \delta\rho\ &=\ 4\ M^2_{\rm Pl}\ \left[k^2\ \Phi + 3\ \mathcal{H}\ (\Phi' + \mathcal{H}\ \Psi)\right] \\ 3\ \mathcal{H}^2(1+w)\, v\ &=\ 2\ \left(\Phi' + \mathcal{H}\ \Psi\right) \\ \Xi\ &=\ 2\ M^2_{\rm Pl}\ (\Phi - \Psi) \\ a^2\ \delta p\ &= -\frac{4\ M^2_{\rm Pl}}{3}\left\{k^2\ \Phi - \Psi\ \left[9w\mathcal{H}^2 + k^2\right] + 3\ \mathcal{H}\ (\Psi' + 2\ \Phi') + 3\ \Phi''\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where $k^2 = k^i k^j \delta_{ij}$, with k^i is the comoving momentum.

At background level, by using the fact that $\bar\xi = 0$ and $\bar\sigma' = 0$, Eq. (3.15) gives

$$\bar p\,' \equiv \left.\frac{\partial\bar p}{\partial\bar\rho}\right|_{\bar\sigma}\ \bar\rho\,' + \left.\frac{\partial\bar p}{\partial\bar\sigma}\right|_{\bar\rho}\ \bar\sigma\,' = c_s^2\,\bar\rho\,', \qquad\qquad \left.\frac{\partial\bar p}{\partial\bar\rho}\right|_{\bar\sigma} = \frac{\bar p\,'}{\bar\rho\,'} = c_s^2\,. \tag{4.19}$$

Notice that in the expansion of (3.15) at linear order, no contribution from the ξ sector is present; $\delta\xi$ is of order two (see Eq. (C.1)) being all spatial velocities zero on a FLRW by isotropy. This allows us to write the total pressure variation as an adiabatic contribution proportional to the energy density perturbation $\delta\rho$ and a non adiabatic part proportional to the entropy per particle variation $\delta\sigma$:

$$\delta p \equiv c_s^2\ \delta\rho + \left.\frac{\partial\bar p}{\partial\bar\sigma}\right|_{\bar\rho}\ \delta\sigma \equiv c_s^2\ \delta\rho + \Gamma\,, \qquad\qquad \Gamma = \left.\frac{\partial\bar p}{\partial\bar\sigma}\right|_{\bar\rho}\ \delta\sigma\,. \tag{4.20}$$

[3]Note that the dimension of these two extra scalars is $[v] = -1$ and $[\Xi] = 2$.

Note that for barotropic fluids where $\bar{p} = \bar{p}(\bar{\rho})$ we have $\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial \bar{\sigma}} = 0$. As a consequence, Γ is zero also when $\delta\sigma \neq 0$, i.e. the entropic fluctuations do not back react on the evolution of the Bardeen potentials. Combining (4.18) and (3.15), one gets a second order ODE for the Bardeen potential Φ

$$\Phi'' + 3\,\mathcal{H}\,\left(c_s^2 + 1\right)\Phi' + \Phi\,\left[k^2\,c_s^2 + 3\,\mathcal{H}^2\left(c_s^2 - w\right)\right] = -\frac{\hat{\Xi}}{6}\,\left[k^2 - 9\,\mathcal{H}^2\left(c_s^2 - w\right)\right] + \frac{\mathcal{H}\,\hat{\Xi}'}{2} - \frac{a^2\,\hat{\Gamma}}{4}\,; \quad (4.21)$$

where we have defined $\hat{\Xi} = M_{\rm Pl}^{-2}\,\Xi$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = M_{\rm Pl}^{-2}\,\Gamma$.

Given Γ and Ξ, the perturbed Einstein equations allow to determine Φ through Eq. (4.21) and then in turn $\delta\rho$ and v (4.18). Actually, the EFT formulation and its thermodynamical interpretation determine uniquely both Γ and Ξ. Indeed, given U, the masses M_i are defined and $\delta\rho$, δp and v can be expressed in terms of scalar fields φ^A and the Bardeen scalars. At linear level, by using the rotational invariance of the background, the scalar part of φ^A can be written as

$$\varphi^0 = \phi(t) + \pi_0(t,\vec{x}), \qquad \varphi^a = x^a + \partial_a \pi_L(t,\vec{x})\,. \quad (4.22)$$

From the expressions (3.2), (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\rho &= -\frac{6\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,\mathcal{H}^2}{a^2}\left(3\,\Phi + k^2\,\pi_L\right)\,(1+w) + \frac{\phi'}{a^4}\,\delta\sigma\,;\\
\delta p &= -\frac{6\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,\mathcal{H}^2}{a^2}\,c_s^2\,\left(3\,\Phi + k^2\,\pi_L\right)\,(1+w) + \frac{c_b^2\,\phi'}{a^4}\,\delta\sigma\,;\\
\delta\sigma &= 2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,\frac{M_0}{\phi'}\,\left[\Psi + \frac{\pi_0'}{\phi'} + c_b^2\,(3\,\Phi + k^2\,\pi_L)\right]\,;\\
v &= \pi_L' + \frac{M_1\,\phi'}{6\,a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(1+w)}\,(\phi'\,\pi_L' - \pi_0), \qquad \hat{\Xi} = -\frac{2\,M_2}{a^2}\,\pi_L\,.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Then, comparing the perturbed Einstein equations (4.18) with the expansion of the medium's perturbations in terms of perturbed scalar fields (4.23), we can read out directly the expression of the intrinsic entropic perturbations Γ as a function of the entropy per particle fluctuation $\delta\sigma$

$$\Gamma \equiv \delta p - c_s^2\,\delta\rho \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Gamma = \frac{\phi'\left(c_b^2 - c_s^2\right)}{a^4}\,\delta\sigma\,. \quad (4.24)$$

We stress that for barotropic fluids $c_b^2 = c_s^2$. The evolution equation (3.7) for σ, expanded at linear order, reads

$$\delta\sigma' = \frac{M_1 M_{\rm Pl}^2}{\phi'^2}\;\,k^2\,(\pi_0 - \phi'\,\pi_L')\,. \quad (4.25)$$

Thus, in the EFT approach for any medium, we have the following superhorizon limit $k/\mathcal{H} \to 0$

$$\lim_{k\to 0}\delta\sigma = \delta\sigma_0(k) = \text{constant in time} \quad (4.26)$$

Note that the above limit has to be taken carefully and, at the end, we have always to check that the equations for the background ϕ' and the perturbations for $\pi_{0,\,L}$ do not spoil such a statement [4].

[4] Recently the violation of the Weinberg Theorem appears has been discussed in a number of papers, see for instance [31, 32, 33, 34]. The naive subhorizon limit $k \to 0$ actually means that the adimensional ratio $k/\mathcal{H}$ goes to zero. So, for a given k mode, we have always to check how fast such a ratio $k/\mathcal{H}$ decrease in time with respect to other characteristic time scales of the problem.

Similarly, for media such that $M_1 = 0$ we have $\delta\sigma = \delta\sigma_0(k)$ =const. for any k. Referring to Table 3, this is the case for perfect fluids and solids. On the other hand, when $M_1 \neq 0$ subhorizon entropic perturbation are dynamically generated even if they were zero at superhorizon scales.

By using (4.21) together with (4.23), (4.24) and (4.25), we arrive at the following coupled system of equations for Φ and $\delta\sigma$

$$\Phi'' + \left[3\,(1+c_s^2)\,\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{F}_1\right]\Phi' + \frac{M_2\,\mathcal{H}\,\phi'}{6\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,a^4\,k^2\,(w+1)\,\mathcal{H}^2}\,\delta\sigma' + \left[\frac{\phi'\,\left(c_b^2-c_s^2\right)}{4\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,a^2} + \mathcal{F}_2\right]\delta\sigma + \left[3\,\mathcal{H}^2\,\left(c_s^2-w\right) + k^2\,c_s^2 + \mathcal{F}_3\right]\Phi = 0\,; \tag{4.27}$$

$$\left[\frac{\phi'^2\left[a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(w+1)+M_1\right]}{a^2\,M_1\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(w+1)}\,\delta\sigma'\right]' + \left[\frac{4\,k^4\,M_2\,\phi'}{9\,\mathcal{H}^3\,(w+1)\,\left[a^2\,k^2\,(w+1)+2\,M_2\right]}\right]\Phi' - \left[\frac{2\,k^4\,\phi'\,\left(c_b^2-c_s^2\right)}{3\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(w+1)} + \mathcal{F}_4\right]\Phi - \left[\frac{\phi'^2\,k^2\left[3\,a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(w+1)+M_0^2\,(c_s^2-2\,c_b^2)\right]}{6\,a^2\,M_0\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(w+1)} + \mathcal{F}_5\right]\delta\sigma = 0\,; \tag{4.28}$$

where the $\mathcal{F}_i$ are functions of k^2, M_i and such that

$$\lim_{M_2\to 0}\mathcal{F}_i = 0\,, \qquad i = 1,2,3,4,5\,. \tag{4.29}$$

The explicit form of $\mathcal{F}_i$ are given in appendix D. Equations (4.27),(4.28) capture in a closed form the dynamics of a general medium with $M_{1,0} \neq 0$. When $M_1 \to 0$, $M_0 \to 0$ and $w \to -1$, Eq. (4.28) is singular. The cases where M_1 and M_0 are zero deserve special scrutiny and will be discussed in Section 5. The equation for the Bardeen potential (4.27) has an apparent singularity for $k \to 0$. However, Eq. (4.25) shows that $\delta\sigma' \propto M_1\,k^2$, as a result, the limit of $M_2\,\frac{\delta\sigma'}{k^2} \propto M_1\,M_2$ for $k \to 0$ exists and it is finite.

The source terms to the right-hand side of (4.21) are important for the superhorizon evolution of the comoving curvature perturbation $\mathcal{R}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{R} = -\Phi - \frac{2\,(\Phi' + \mathcal{H}\,\Psi)}{3\,\mathcal{H}(1+w)}. \tag{4.30}$$

Indeed, by using (4.21), we get that

$$6\,(1+w)\,\mathcal{H}\,\mathcal{R}' = a^2\,\hat{\Gamma} + k^2\left(\frac{2\,\hat{\Xi}}{3} + 4\,c_s^2\,\Phi\right)\,. \tag{4.31}$$

A similar analysis applies for the perturbation ζ of the curvature of constant energy density hypersurfaces given by

$$\zeta = -\Phi - \frac{\delta\rho}{3\,(\bar\rho+\bar p)} = \mathcal{R} - \frac{2\,k^2\,\Phi}{9\,(1+w)\,\mathcal{H}^2} = -\Phi - \frac{a^2\,\delta\rho}{18\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(1+w)}\,. \tag{4.32}$$

We get for the evolution of ζ

$$6\,(1+w)\,\mathcal{H}\,\zeta' = a^2\,\hat{\Gamma} + 2\,k^2\,(1+w)\,\zeta + \frac{2\,k^2}{9\,\mathcal{H}^2}\,\left[2\,k^2 + 9\,(1+w)\,\mathcal{H}^2\right]\,\Phi\,. \tag{4.33}$$

One of the virtues of our effective field theory analysis is that it provides Γ and Ξ as a function of the Bardeen potential and the entropic perturbations, namely

$$\Gamma = \frac{\phi'\,\left(c_b^2-c_s^2\right)}{a^4}\,\delta\sigma\,; \tag{4.34}$$

$$\hat{\Xi} = -\frac{2\,M_2}{a^2}\,\pi_L = M_2\,\frac{2\,a^2\,\left[\left(2\,k^2+3\,(3\,w+5)\,\mathcal{H}^2\right)\,\Phi + 6\,\mathcal{H}\,\Phi'\right] - \delta\sigma\,\phi'}{3\,a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,\left[a^2\,k^2\,(w+1)+2\,M_2\right]}\,. \tag{4.35}$$

Thus, in a general medium, two sources contribute to a non-trivial dynamics of superhorizon perturbations [35]: intrinsic entropic perturbations Γ and anisotropic stress Ξ when are non-vanishing for $k/\mathcal{H} \to 0$.
Anisotropic stress Ξ is absent when $M_2 = 0$, while intrinsic entropic pressure perturbations Γ are ineffective, see Eq. (4.20), either for $c_b^2 - c_s^2 \propto \left.\frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial \bar{\sigma}}\right|_{\bar{\rho}} = 0$ or $\delta\sigma = 0$. For barotropic fluids where $p = p(\rho)$, we have $c_b^2 - c_s^2 = 0$. Generically, entropy perturbations are absent either when M_0 or when $M_1 = 0$ with a vanishing initial value for $\delta\sigma$ (see Section 6). For the anisotropic stress we note that, taking the superhorizon limit, one should specify the relative size of the different scales entering in the game; namely we encounter in the dynamical equations (4.27-4.28) contributions of the form

$$k^2\,\Xi \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{M_2}{\mathcal{H}^2\,(1+w)}\,\left(\frac{2\,(3\,w+5)}{a^2}\,\mathcal{H}^2\,\Phi + 4\,\frac{\mathcal{H}}{a^2}\,\Phi' - \frac{\delta\sigma\,\phi'}{3\,a^4}\right) & M_2 \ll a^2\,k^2\,(1+w) \ll a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(1+w) \\ \frac{k^2}{\mathcal{H}^2}\,\left[(3\,w+5)\,\mathcal{H}^2\,\Phi + 2\,\mathcal{H}\,\Phi' - \frac{\delta\sigma\,\phi'}{3\,a^2}\right] & a^2\,k^2\,(1+w) \ll \{M_2,\ a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(1+w)\} \end{cases} . \tag{4.36}$$

This shows concretely how, in presence of different scales, sending to zero different dimensional quantities (k and M_2) do not commute: $[\lim_{k\to 0},\ \lim_{M_2\to 0}] \neq 0$. We will defer the detailed study of such limits related to the violation of Weinberg theorem on the existence of adiabatic modes [36, 35] to a dedicated paper.

4.2 Tensor Perturbations

Tensor perturbations are particularly simple, in fact the transverse and traceless spin two part χ_{ij} of the metric perturbations

$$ds^2 = a^2\left[-dt^2 + (\delta_{ij} + \chi_{ij}(t,\,\vec{x})\,)\ \ dx^i dx^j\right] . \tag{4.37}$$

are gauge invariant. The quadratic Lagrangian for tensor perturbations in the Fourier basis is [17, 7, 18, 6]

$$L_t^{(2)} = \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2}\left[a^2\,\chi_{ij}'^2 - \chi_{ij}^2\,\left(k^2\,a^2 + M_2\right)\right] . \tag{4.38}$$

Thus, the linearised Einstein equations for the tensor modes reads

$$\chi_{ij}'' + 2\,\mathcal{H}\,\chi_{ij}' + \left(k^2 + \frac{M_2}{a^2}\right)\chi_{ij} = 0\,. \tag{4.39}$$

For fluids and superfluids where $M_2 = 0$, the dynamics of spin 2 modes is standard. This is not the case for solids and supersolids where $M_2 \neq 0$ and it can trigger a enhancement/suppression of χ_{ij} depending on its sign. This could induce, for instance, observable effects on the propagation and lensing of CMB B-modes if the continuous medium is relevant at sufficiently early times. Remarkably the mass parameter, M_2, responsible for the gravitational slip $\Phi - \Psi$, also enters in the propagation of gravitational waves.

4.3 Vector Perturbations

Vector perturbations of the metric have the form

$$ds^2 = a^2\left[-dt^2 + (\delta_{ij} + \partial_i\,s_j(t,\,\vec{x}) + \partial_j\,s_i(t,\,\vec{x}))\,dx^i dx^j + 2\,\nu_i(t,\,\vec{x})\,dt\,dx^i\right] ; \tag{4.40}$$

with $\partial_i\,s_i = \partial_i\,\nu_i = 0$. The quadratic Lagrangian reads [17, 7, 18, 6]

$$L_v^{(2)} \ = \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2}\left[k^2 a^2\,(\nu_i - \ s_i')^2 - k^2 M_2\ s_i^2 + M_1^{eff}\nu_i^2\right] ; \tag{4.41}$$

where

$$M_1^{eff} \equiv M_1 + \frac{a^4(\bar\rho+\bar p)}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} = M_1 + 6\,a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2(1+w) = \frac{\lambda_1^2}{\phi'} + \frac{a^4\,\bar\rho}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\left(2\,\phi'^2\sum_{n=0}^{3} a^{-2n}\,U_{y_n} + \phi'\,a^3\,U_Y - a\,U_b - 2\sum_{n=1}^{3} n\,a^{4-2\,n}\,U_{\tau_n}\right). \qquad (4.42)$$

The fields ν_i have a purely algebraic equation of motion

$$\nu_i = \frac{k^2\,a^2\,s_i'}{k^2\,a^2 + M_1^{eff}}\,, \qquad (4.43)$$

and thus they can be integrated out, giving the Lagrangian

$$L_v^{(2)} = \frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2}\,k^2\left(\frac{a^2\,M_1^{eff}}{k^2\,a^2+M_1^{eff}}\,s_i'^{\,2} - M_2\,s_i^2\right). \qquad (4.44)$$

The vector s_i propagate only if $M_1^{eff} \neq 0$. The dispersion relation is not trivial only when $M_2 \neq 0$. Thus, M_2, besides controlling the dispersion relation of tensors, also determines the dispersion relation of vectors.

5 Masses and Degrees of Freedom

In order to disentangle the two singular limits $M_{1,0} = 0$ it is convenient to examine the structure of the equations of motion retaining all the original fields, though some of them can be integrate out. From $\delta\rho$ in (4.18), (4.23) and (4.35), we get the relation

$$\pi_L = M_2\,\left(\#\,\delta\sigma + \#\,\Phi + \#\,\Phi'\right) \qquad (5.1)$$

From (4.25) and the derivative of (5.2) we obtain

$$\delta\sigma' = M_1\,\left(\#\,\pi_0 + \#\,M_2\,\delta\sigma + \#\,\Phi + \#\,\Phi'\right)\,; \qquad (5.2)$$

Finally, the definition of $\delta\sigma$ in (4.23), together with(5.2), gives

$$\delta\sigma = \left[\#\,M_0\,\pi_0' + (\#\,M_4 + \#\,M_0)\,(\Phi + \#\,\Phi')\right]\,. \qquad (5.3)$$

We denoted with # a generic functions of k, $\mathcal{H}$, a, M_i whose detailed form is not relevant for us. The above set of equations is equivalent to the coupled system of second order differential equations for Φ and $\delta\sigma$ given in (4.27-4.28) and in appendix D. Let us now examine the following degenerate cases.

- M_1=0.

  From (5.2) we have that

  $$\delta\sigma' = 0 \;\;\rightarrow\;\; \delta\sigma = \delta\sigma_0(k). \qquad (5.4)$$

  Then (5.3) becomes a second order equation for π_0. On the contrary, (5.1) shows that π_L is an auxiliary field. Thus, there are two propagating fields: π_0 and Φ.

- M_0=0

  Notice that (4.11) implies that also $M_4 = 0$. From (5.3) it follows that

  $$\delta\sigma = 0\,. \qquad (5.5)$$

  As a result, (5.1)-(5.2) imply that both π_0 and π_L are auxiliary fields. Thus the only propagating field is Φ [37, 38, 39, 40].

M_0	M_1	M_1^{eff}	Propagating DoF	Eqs Φ – $\delta\sigma$
$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\Phi,\ \pi_0$ (or π_L)	$\Phi'' + ... = 0,\ \delta\sigma'' + ... = 0$
0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	Φ	$\Phi'' + ... = 0,\ \delta\sigma = 0$
$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	$\Phi,\ \pi_0$	$\Phi'' + ... = 0,\ \delta\sigma' = 0$
$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	Φ	$\Phi'' + ... = 0,\ \delta\sigma + ... = 0$

Table 4: *Structure of the scalar equations of motion and degrees of freedom (DoF) in terms of the masses*

Thus, irrespective of the values of $M_{0,1}$, π_L is an auxiliary field (5.1) and can be always integrated out. Moreover, π_0 can be traded for the gauge invariant entropy per particle perturbation $\delta\sigma$. Let us consider the dynamics of $\delta\sigma$. The coefficient of $\delta\sigma'$ in (4.28) is proportional to M_1^{eff}. The following case is possible.

- $M_1^{eff} = 0$ is special: $\delta\sigma$ has to satisfy (4.28)

$$\#\ \delta\sigma + \#\ \Phi' + \#\ \Phi = 0\,; \tag{5.6}$$

  i.e. $\delta\sigma$ is determined by Φ and from (5.1), (5.2) we see that both π_L and π_0 are auxiliary fields. Thus, again only Φ propagates. In such a case also vectors do not propagates so that we have a total of three degrees of freedom (one scalar and two tensors) [41]. Note the difference with the case $M_1 = 0$, where $\delta\sigma' = 0$ and we have only an extra propagating scalar mode.

A summary of the above results is given in Table 4.
Let us briefly discuss the connections between massive gravity theories and self gravitating media. In [6] it was shown that rotational invariant massive gravity theories, described by the potential [8, 7, 41, 42] $V(g^{00},\ g^{0i},\ g^{ij})$, are equivalent, up to a gauge transformation, to a medium described by the Lagrangian $U(b,\ Y,\ X,\ \tau_n,\ y_n)$.
In massive gravity the existence of a scalar sixth mode is typically associated to a ghost mode which generates instabilities at any scale. It is worth to stress that even media like perfect fluids have six degrees of freedom, supporting a second scalar mode without any apparent instability [41]. Let us consider for instance the perfect fluid $U(b,\ Y)$. Once the variables Φ, $\delta\sigma$ are used, the equations of motions (7.1) take a very simple form (we set $c_s^2 = w$ for simplicity)

$$\Phi'' = -k^2\ w\ \Phi - 3\ \mathcal{H}\ (1+w)\ \Phi' + \frac{w - c_b^2}{4\ a^2\ M_{\rm Pl}^2}\ \phi'\ \delta\sigma_0 \tag{5.7}$$

and the conservation of entropy per particle $\delta\sigma' = 0$ implies $\delta\sigma = \delta\sigma_0$. No sign of instabilities is present, as it should be, being a perfect fluid. The matter will be studied in a future dedicated paper.

6 Adiabatic and Isentropic Media

Adiabatic media feature a constant in time entropy per particle $\sigma(\vec{x})$. From (5.4), we see that this happens whenever $M_1 = 0$. Thus, at least at the linearised order, adiabaticity is equivalent to

$$M_1 \equiv \frac{2\,\phi'^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{3} a^{-2\,n}\,U_{y_n} + a^2\,U_X\right) = 0\,. \tag{6.1}$$

Clearly a sufficient condition for adiabaticity is the absence in the effective action (2.8) of X and y_n. As will see later this is the case for perfect fluids and solids.

A stronger thermodynamical requirement is that the medium is isentropic, namely σ is strictly a constant and thus no temporal or spatial variations are allowed. This implies that $\delta\sigma$ should vanish identically. From (5.5) this is the case when

$$M_0 \equiv \frac{\phi'^2\,a^2}{2M_{\rm Pl}^2}\,\left[(U_{Y^2} - 2\,U_X) - 4\,\frac{\phi'}{a}\,U_{YX} + 4\,\frac{\phi'^2}{a^2}\,U_{X^2}\right] = 0\,. \tag{6.2}$$

A sufficient condition for an isentropic medium is that the function U entering the effective action (2.8) does not depend from the operators Y and X. From our thermodynamical dictionary, see (3.14), it is clear that the presence of the operator Y turns on the entropy density s, while X can be related to a superfluid component.

From the background equations of motion (4.11), it follows that requiring $M_0 = 0$ implies, for consistency, also that $M_4 = 0$; in this case, the symmetries of the medium are enhanced, the ϕ becomes a gauge artefact and one can set $\phi' = 1$. Moreover, both c_s^2 and c_b^2 in Eq. (4.11) are singular; actually we have that

$$c_s^2 = \frac{M_2 - 3\,M_3}{9\,a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2(1+w)}\,. \tag{6.3}$$

From (5.3) we have $\delta\sigma = 0$ and the fluid is isentropic.

The medium can be isentropic even when U depends on Y and X for a suitable choice of U. Interpreting (6.2) as a differential equation for U in the X and Y variable, one can verify that for instance the Lagrangian $\sqrt{-X}\,\mathcal{U}_1(b,\,\tau_n,\,y_n) + Y\,\mathcal{U}_2(b,\,\tau_n,\,y_n)$ is isentropic. This is the case also for $U(\frac{X}{Y^2},\,b,\,\tau_n,\,y_n)$ where Y and X appears in the special combination $\frac{X}{Y^2}$ typical of the operators $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$ entering in a subclass of superfluids and supersolids with $U(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta n},\,\tau_n)$, see (E.9). Finally, also $U(X + Y^2,\,b,\,\tau_n,\,y_n)$ forms a rather general class of isentropic media.

7 Perfect Fluids

Perfect fluids are probably the simplest media one can think of and are ubiquitous in cosmology. They are characterised by an EMT (see (2.10)) with vanishing heat flow q_μ and anisotropic stress $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ [24, 36]. Thus the pressure is isotropic and $M_2 = 0$ due to $\Xi = 0$ 4.23. The internal symmetry for perfect fluids corresponds to spatial volume preserving diffeomorphisms and, as shown in Table 2, this select at leading order a small number of operators: b, Y and X. From the symmetry requirements and by inspection of the general form of the EMT (A.2), one can deduce that $U(b,\,Y)$ describes a perfect fluid [19, 10, 12, 13, 20, 43]. Having $M_1 = 0$, the entropy per particle is conserved. The equation of motion for Φ and $\delta\sigma$ reads

$$\Phi'' = \frac{\left({c_s}^2 - {c_b}^2\right)\,\phi'}{4\,a^2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2}\delta\sigma_0 + \left[3\,\mathcal{H}^2\,\left(w - {c_s}^2\right) - k^2\,{c_s}^2\right]\,\Phi - 3\,\left({c_s}^2 + 1\right)\,\mathcal{H}\,\Phi'\,; \tag{7.1}$$

$$\delta\sigma = \delta\sigma_0(k)\,. \tag{7.2}$$

Note that for barotropic fluids described by $U(b)$ and $U(Y)$ we have $c_b^2 = c_s^2$; thus no entropic source is present in the evolution equation for the Bardeenal potential. A perfect fluid can be also described by $U(X)$ [44]; however, in this case M_1^{eff} =0, and, as shown in Section 5, the field $\delta\sigma$ is non dynamical. Moreover, from (4.28), taking the limits: $M_1^{eff} \to 0,\ M_2 \to 0$ and $c_b^2 = c_s^2$, we get that $\delta\sigma = 0$, so the fluid is also isentropic.
The main features of perfect fluids are summarised in Table 5.

Lagrangian	M_0	M_1	M_2	M_3	M_4	M_1^{eff}	DoF	Features
$U(b)$	0	0	0	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	1	Barotropic, Isentropic
$U(Y)$	$\neq 0$	0	0	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	2	Barotropic, Adiabatic
$U(b,\ Y)$	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	2	Adiabatic
$U(X)$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	0	0	0	1	Barotropic, Isent., Irrot.

Table 5: *Masses and thermodynamical classification of Perfect Fluids*

8 Superfluids

Superfluids are characterised by the EMT in (2.10) with $q_\mu = 2\,Y\,U_X\,\xi_\mu$ and $\pi_{\mu\nu} = 0$ [23, 45]. Moreover, the anisotropic perturbation $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ assumes the specific form $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = -2\,U_X\,\xi_\mu\,\xi_\nu$. A superfluid can be roughly thought has a mixture of a perfect fluid plus a superfluid irrotational component and can be described by the Lagrangians $U(b,\ Y,\ X)$ or $U(b,\ \mathcal{O}_\alpha)$ [11, 13, 43]. Superfluids, besides spatial volume preserving diffs, support a temporal shift symmetry $\varphi^0 \to \varphi^0 + c$, where c is constant. In FLRW, being the relative velocity ξ_μ of order one, it implies that $\Pi_{\mu\nu} \propto \xi_\mu\,\xi_\nu$ is at least of order two. So, at quadratic level, we always have $M_2 = 0$. Then, the equation for the Bardeen potential is (see the limits (4.29))

$$\Phi'' \;=\; \frac{\left({c_s}^2 - {c_b}^2\right)\ \phi'}{4\ a^2\ M_{\rm Pl}^2}\ \delta\sigma + \left[3\ \mathcal{H}^2\ \left(w - {c_s}^2\right) - k^2\ {c_s}^2\right]\ \Phi - 3\left({c_s}^2 + 1\right)\ \mathcal{H}\ \Phi'\,. \tag{8.1}$$

The entropy per particle is not conserved ($M_1 \neq 0$) and satisfies the following equation

$$\left[\frac{\phi'^2\,M_1^{eff}}{6\,a^2\,M_1(w+1)\,\mathcal{H}^2}\delta\sigma'\right]' = k^2\ \left[\frac{\phi'^2\,(c_s^2 - 2\,c_b^2)}{6\,a^2\,(w+1)\,\mathcal{H}^2} + \frac{\phi'^2}{2\,M_0}\right]\delta\sigma + \left[\frac{2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\,k^4\,\phi'\,(c_b^2 - c_s^2)}{3\,(1+w)\,\mathcal{H}^2}\right]\ \Phi. \tag{8.2}$$

The main features of Superfluids are summarised in Table 6.
Isentropic superfluids with Lagrangian $U(b,\,\mathcal{O}_\alpha)$ are rather peculiar. The form of U is protected by symmetry, see Table 2, and only a single scalar degree of freedom is present. Indeed, in the combination X/Y^2 the π_0 field doesn't enter at the first order, see (C.1). Finally Eq. (7.1) with $\delta\sigma_0 = 0$ shows that such class of media behaves more like isentropic perfect fluids rather then superfluids. Superfluid cosmological model are in general studied by using the Lagrangian $U(X)$ (supposed to describe the single superfluid component) with possible shift symmetry breaking [46, 47] looking for a connection to Mond Theory [48] in the galactic dynamics; for a recent analysis, also with other operators, see [49].

Lagrangian	M_0	M_1	M_2	M_3	M_4	M_1^{eff}	DoF	Feature
$U(b,\, X)$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	2	
$U(Y,\, X)$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	2	
$U(b,\, Y,\, X)$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	2	
$U(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)$	0	$\neq 0$	0	0	0	$\neq 0$	1	Isentropic
$U(b,\, \mathcal{O}_\alpha)$	0	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	1	Isentropic

Table 6: *Masses and features of superfluids*

9 Solids

Solids are described by the Lagrangian $U(\tau_n)$ [22, 50] or, for finite temperature solids, by $U(Y, \tau_n)$. Differently from fluids, the anisotropic stress $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ is not vanishing which implies that the two Bardeen potentials, Ψ and Φ, are not equal (4.18)

$$\Phi - \Psi = \frac{\Xi}{2\, M_{\rm Pl}^2} = -\frac{M_2}{a^2}\, \pi_L \,. \tag{9.1}$$

Being $M_1 = 0$, solids are adiabatic, thus $\delta\sigma = \delta\sigma_0(k)$. The evolution equation for Φ reads

$$\Phi'' + \left[3\,(1+c_s^2)\,\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{F}_1\right]\Phi' + \left[\frac{\phi'\left(c_b^2 - c_s^2\right)}{4\, M_{\rm Pl}^2\, a^2} + \mathcal{F}_2\right]\delta\sigma_0 + \left[3\,\mathcal{H}^2\left(c_s^2 - w\right) + k^2 c_s^2 + \mathcal{F}_3\right]\Phi = 0\,. \tag{9.2}$$

Notice that for solids described by $U(\tau_n)$ we also have $M_{0,4} = 0$ so that $\delta\sigma = 0$, c_b^2 is not defined while for the speed of sound c_s^2 we have that (6.3) is still valid.

Lagrangian	M_0	M_1	M_2	M_3	M_4	M_1^{eff}	DoF	Features
$U(\tau_n)$	0	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	1	Isentropic
$U(\tau_n,\, Y)$	$\neq 0$	0	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 0$	2	Adiabatic

Table 7: *Mass spectrum and thermodynamical classification of Solids*

The properties of solids are summarised in Table 7. The superhorizon perturbations for solids are similar to supersolids due to the fact that in such a limit all fluids become adiabatic as will be discussed in next session. Solids received lot of attentions in many cosmological contests, see [51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 34].

10 Supersolids

Supersolids are characterised by the presence of unrelated relative velocity $q_\mu \propto \xi_\mu$ and anisotropic perturbation tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$ [58, 13, 43]. General supersolid have two scalar degrees of freedom described by

the coupled set of equations (4.27) and (4.28). Let us discuss briefly the superhorizon ($k \to 0$) regime. We already know that superhorizon entropy perturbations are constant. The limit for small $k/\mathcal{H}$ can be implemented in two different ways as show in (4.36) depending on the relative size between k^2 and M_2. For simplicity we set here $c_s^2 = w$. For $M_2 \ll a^2\, k^2\, (1+w) \ll a^2\, \mathcal{H}^2\, (1+w)$ we get

$$\Phi'' = -3\,(w+1)\,\mathcal{H}\,\Phi' + \frac{\delta\sigma\,\phi'\,(w-c_b^2)}{4\,a^2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2} \tag{10.1}$$

and in absence of entropy perturbations, the Bardeen potential Φ at leading order is constant.
In the second case, $a^2\,k^2\,(1+w) \ll \{a^2\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(1+w), M_2\}$, setting $M_2' = \kappa_2\,\mathcal{H}\,M_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi'' \;=\; & -\delta\sigma\,\left(\frac{\phi'\left(3\,(c_b^2-w)+\kappa_2-2\right)}{12\,a^2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2} - \frac{M_2\,\phi'}{18\,M_{Pl}^2\,(1+w)\,a^4\,\mathcal{H}^2}\right) + \mathcal{H}\;(\kappa_2 - 3\,w - 5)\;\Phi' + \\ & \left(\frac{1}{2}\,(\kappa_2-2)\,(3\,w+5)\,\mathcal{H}^2 - \frac{M_2}{a^2}\right)\;\Phi\end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

This time the Bardeen potential is not constant also in absence of entropy perturbations. The above observation has interesting implications for inflationary models where superhorizon perturbations violate the adiabatic Weinberg theorem [59, 56, 60, 61, 62, 63]. In a dedicate paper we will analyse the behaviour of the various gauge invariant scalars in the superhorizon limit.
There are also some special supersolids that deserve a mention as the subclasses $U(X,\ w_n)$, $U(w_n)$ and $U(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta n})$ that result symmetry protected, see Table 2. The first two Lagrangians have $M_1^{eff} = 0$ and, from the analysis of Section 5, it follows that $\delta\sigma$ is an auxiliary field and only a single scalar degree of freedom is present. The supersolids $U(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta n})$ have $M_0 = 0$ (E.9) and so they are isentropic.

11 Conclusions

We have studied the dynamics of cosmological perturbations around a FLRW Universe in the presence of a generic self-gravitating medium whose dynamics is described by using an effective field theory approach. The low energy modes of the medium (phonons) are related to four scalar fields φ^A corresponding to the Goldstone modes of the spontaneously broken spacetime translations. We provide a complete classification of the medium both from a dynamical than from a thermodynamical point of view. The Lagrangian density U describing the medium depends on a set of scalar operators built from the scalar fields φ^A according to the prescribed internal symmetries. The dynamics of scalar perturbations is generically described by two coupled second order differential equations, one for the Bardeen potential Φ and one for the fluctuations of the entropy per particle $\delta\sigma$, that turns out to be a combination of Goldstone fields. Besides the background pressure and energy density, the dynamics of linear cosmological perturbations is encoded in a set of five free masses $\{M_i\}$. Media are classified according to the internal symmetries of the EFT and the structure of the EMT which impact on the values of $\{M_i\}$. Remarkably, we find that also simple thermodynamical properties of medium correspond to specific values of mass parameters. We have found that media can be classified according to the following scheme:

- Adiabatic media, with $\delta\sigma(\vec{x})$ time independent, have $M_1 = 0$:
  - Perfect Fluids at finite Temperature: $U(b,\, Y)$
  - Solids at finite Temperature: $U(\tau_n,\, Y)$
- Isentropic media with $\delta\sigma = 0$ are characterised by $M_0 = 0$:

  - Perfect Fluid: $U(b)$
  - Solids: $U(\tau_n)$
  - Superfluid: $U(\mathcal{O}_\alpha)$, $U(X+Y^2)$
  - Supersolids: $U(\mathcal{O}_\alpha,\, \tau_n,\, y_n)$, $U(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta n})$, $U(X+Y^2,\, \tau_n,\, y_n)$, $\sqrt{-X}\,\mathcal{U}_1(\,\tau_n,\, y_n) + Y\,\mathcal{U}_2(\,\tau_n,\, y_n)$

- The Lagrangian $U(X)$ describes an irrotational isentropic perfect fluid with $\delta\sigma = 0$ for a combination of factors : $M_1^{eff} = M_2 = 0$ and $c_b^2 = c_s^2$.

- Isotropic media have zero anisotropic perturbation tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in the EMT (the two Bardeen potentials are automatically equal: $\Phi = \Psi$) and are characterised by $M_2 = 0$.

  - All Perfect Fluids;
  - All Superfluids.

- Generically, superhorizon perturbations for all media becomes adiabatic ($\lim_{k/\mathcal{H}\to 0}\delta\sigma(k,t) = \delta\sigma(k)$) despite, in superfluids and supersolids, entropy perturbations have a non trivial dynamics.

- Media with non-dynamical entropy perturbations (the Bardeen potential determines his evolution: $\delta\sigma = f(\Phi)$) are characterised by $M_1^{eff} = 0 : U(b\,Y, X,\, w_n)$.

As shown by (10.1)-(10.2), the superhorizon evolution of the Bardeen potential can be not trivial. Recently in a number of models [64, 65, 33, 32, 66, 59] are reported violations of the Adiabatic Weinberg theorem [35, 36] on the existence of two constant adiabatic scalar modes on super-horizon scales (4.31). The violation of the theorem, which allows superhorizon modes to grow, involves the analyticity properties of the suitably normalized Goldstone fields $\pi_{0,L}$ in the limit $k \to 0$ and the existence of peculiar backgrounds (for example the one in fluid inflation [31, 33]) with strong time dependence that can turn decreasing modes into growing ones. In our approach, we trade the Goldstone fields for the Bardeen potentials and the entropy perturbation $\delta\sigma$. In such a way, the sources of the violation of the adiabatic Weinberg theorem become evident from the equations (10.1) and (10.2). A complete analysis of such a matter, including the inflationary background, for generic media deserves a dedicated study and it will be given elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

D.C. and L.P. would also like to thank G. Ballesteros for enlightening discussions during the early stages of the project.

A EMT

The EMT derived from the action (2.8) is [6]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = U\, g_{\mu\nu} - 2\frac{\partial U}{\partial C^{AB}}\partial_\mu\varphi^A\,\partial_\nu\varphi^B = U\, g_{\mu\nu} - 2\sum_k U_{\mathcal{O}_k}\,\frac{\partial \mathcal{O}_k}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}\,, \tag{A.1}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_k$ are the nine scalar LO operators appearing in (2.8) and we use the notation $U_{\mathcal{O}_k} = \partial U/\partial \mathcal{O}_k$. Their partial derivatives with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial Y}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = -\frac{Y}{2}\, u_\mu\, u_\nu\,, \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = -X\, \mathcal{V}_\mu \mathcal{V}_\nu\,, \quad \frac{\partial \tau_n}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = n\, \partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{n-1} \cdot \partial_\nu \boldsymbol{\varphi}\,, \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{b}{2}\, h_{\mu\nu}\,, \\ &\frac{\partial y_n}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{n-m} \cdot \boldsymbol{Z} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^{m-1} \cdot \partial_\nu \boldsymbol{\varphi} - 2\sqrt{-X}\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}^n \cdot \partial_{(\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\, \mathcal{V}_{\nu)}\,, \end{aligned} \qquad . \tag{A.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}^i = C^{0i} \quad , \tag{A.3}$$

and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the vector with components $\mathcal{C}^i$, in these expressions $\partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ has to be understood as a 1×3 matrix of components $\partial_\mu \varphi^i$. We have used that

$$\frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = -\frac{u^\alpha}{2}\, u_\mu\, u_\nu\,, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}^\mu}{\partial g^{\alpha\beta}} = -\frac{\mathcal{V}^\mu}{2} \mathcal{V}_\alpha \mathcal{V}_\beta\,. \tag{A.4}$$

The dot $(\cdot)$ represents the standard three-dimensional matrix product. Notice that for convenience we have included b, though it can be written as a combination of the three τ_n. The operators w_n are not independent; they are non-linear combinations of the scalars X, τ_n and y_n:

$$w_1 = \tau_1 - \frac{y_0}{X}, \quad w_2 = \tau_2 - 2\,\frac{y_1}{X} + \frac{y_0^2}{X^2}, \quad w_3 = \tau_3 - 3\,\frac{y_2}{X} + 3\,\frac{y_0\, y_1}{X^2} - \frac{y_0^3}{X^3}\,. \tag{A.5}$$

B Masses

The explicit values of the masses M_i, in terms of U and its derivatives, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= \frac{\phi'^2}{2\, M_{\rm Pl}^2} \left[a^2\, (U_{Y^2} - 2\, U_X) - 4\, a\, \phi'\, U_{XY} + 4\, U_{X^2}\, \phi'^2\right]\,, \qquad M_1 = \frac{2\,\phi'^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{3} a^{-2\,n}\, U_{y_n} + a^2\, U_X\right), \\ M_2 &= -\frac{2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \sum_{n=1}^{3} n^2\, a^{2(2-n)}\, U_{\tau_n}, \\ M_3 &= \frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \left(2 \sum_{m,n=1}^{3} m\, n\, a^{-2m-2n+4}\, U_{\tau_m \tau_n} + 2 \sum_{n} n\, a^{1-2n}\, U_{b\tau_n} - \sum_{n,m=1}^{3} a^{4-2n}\, U_{\tau_n} + \frac{1}{2\, a^2}\, U_{b^2}\right)\,, \\ M_4 &= \frac{1}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \left[\frac{\phi'}{2} \left(2 \sum_{m,n=1}^{3} a^{3-2n}\, U_{Y\tau_n} - a^3\, U_Y + U_{bY}\right) + \phi'^2 \left(-2 \sum_{n=1}^{3} a^{2-2n}\, U_{X\tau_n} + a^2\, U_X - \frac{1}{a}\, U_{bX}\right)\right]; \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where (4.7) have been used.

C Operators Expansion around FRW

First order expansion of the fundamental operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b = \frac{1}{a^3}\,\left(1 - 3\,\Phi + \partial^2\pi_L\right), \quad Y = \frac{\phi'}{a}\,\left(1 + \Psi + \frac{\pi_0'}{\phi'}\right), \\
& X = -\left(\frac{\phi'}{a}\right)^2\,\left(1 + 2\,\Psi + 2\,\frac{\pi_0'}{\phi'}\right), \quad \tau_n = \frac{1}{a^{2\,n}}\,\left[3 + 2\,n\,(-3\,\Phi + \partial^2\pi_L)\right] \\
& u_\mu = \left(-a + a\,\Psi,\, -a\,\partial_i\pi_L'\right), \quad \mathcal{V}_\mu = \left(-a + a\,\Psi,\, -\frac{a}{\phi'}\,\partial_i\pi_0\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.1}
$$

while the y_n are all of order two.

D Equations of Motion

The functions $\mathcal{F}_i$ entering in the equations for Φ and $\delta\sigma$ are given by

$$
\mathcal{F}_1 = \frac{2\,M_2\,\mathcal{H}\left[3(w - c_s^2) + 2\right] - 2\,M_2'}{\mathbb{D}}\,; \tag{D.1}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_2 = \frac{\phi'\left[3a^2(w+1)\,\mathcal{H}\,M_2' - 2\,M_2^2\right] - a^2 M_2(w+1)\phi'\left[3\,\mathcal{H}^2\left(3(w - c_s^2) + 2\right) + k^2\right]}{18\,a^4\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\mathcal{H}^2\,(w+1)\,\mathbb{D}} \tag{D.2}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_3 = \frac{M_2^2\,f_2 + M_2\,f_1 + M_2'\,f_0}{36\,a^2 M_{\rm Pl}^4\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(w+1)\,[a^2\,k^2\,(w+1) + 2\,M_2]}\,; \tag{D.3}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_4 = -\frac{2\,k^4\;M_2\,\phi'\left[3\,\mathcal{H}^2(3w+5) + 2\,k^2\right]}{27\mathcal{H}^4\,(w+1)\,\mathbb{D}}\,; \tag{D.4}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_5 = \frac{k^4\,M_2\,\phi'^2}{27\,a^2\,M_{\rm Pl}^2\mathcal{H}^4\,(w+1)\,\mathbb{D}}\,. \tag{D.5}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_2 = 72\,M_{\rm Pl}^4\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(w+1) + 16\,M_{\rm Pl}^4\,k^2\,; \\
& f_1 = 4a^2\,(w+1)M_{\rm Pl}^4\left\{\,3\,\mathcal{H}^2\;\left[3\,\mathcal{H}^2(3\,w+5)\left(3\,w - 3\,c_s^2 + 2\right) + \,k^2\,\left(9\,w + 7 - 6\,c_s^2\right)\right] + 2\,k^4\,\right\}\,; \\
& f_0 = -12\,a^2\,M_{\rm Pl}^4\,(w+1)\,\mathcal{H}\left[3\,\mathcal{H}^2\,(3w+5) + 2\,k^2\,\right]\,;
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.6}
$$

with $\mathbb{D} \equiv \left[a^2\,k^2\,(w+1) + 2\,M_2\right]$. The equation for Φ has a smooth limit when some of the M_i are sent to zero. On the contrary, the equation for $\delta\sigma$ is singular when M_1 or M_0 are sent to zero, see Section 5 for such a cases.

E Masses for Special Supersolids

Consider a Lagrangian that depends the operators w_n; see (A.5). The parameters $\{M_i\}$ can be derived by the following identification in the formulas (B.1)

$$
U_{\tau_n} \to U_{w_n}, \qquad U_{y_n} \to \frac{(n+1)\,a^2}{(\phi')^2}\,U_{w_{n+1}}. \tag{E.1}
$$

For special supersolids described by $U(b,\, Y,\, X,\, w_n)$, the expression (4.42) for M_1^{eff} takes the form

$$M_1^{eff} = a\, \frac{a^2\, \phi'\, U_Y -\, U_b}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\, . \tag{E.2}$$

In particular, for the Lagrangian of the form $U(b\, Y,\ X,\ w_n)$ we have that $M_1^{eff} = 0$ and then only 3 degrees of freedom propagate [41, 38, 39, 40, 67], see Table 4. In presence of the $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta n}$ operators, the $\{M_i\}$ can be computed by the following substitutions

$$U_X \to (-1)^{\alpha+1}\, \alpha\, \bar{Y}^{-2(\beta+1)}\, y_n^\beta\, U_{\mathcal{O}}\,; \tag{E.3}$$
$$U_Y \to 2\, (-1)^{\alpha+1}\, (\alpha+\beta)\, \bar{Y}^{-2\beta-1}\, y_n^\beta\, U_{\mathcal{O}}\,; \tag{E.4}$$
$$U_{y_n} \to (-1)^{\alpha}\, \beta\, \bar{Y}^{-2\beta}\, y_n^{\beta-1}\, U_{\mathcal{O}}\,; \tag{E.5}$$

$$U_{XX} \to (-1)^{\alpha}\, \alpha\, \bar{Y}^{-4\beta-4}\, y_n^\beta\, \left[(-1)^{\alpha}\, \alpha\, U_{\mathcal{O}^2}\, y_n^\beta + (\alpha-1)\, U_{\mathcal{O}}\, \bar{Y}^{2\beta}\right]\,; \tag{E.6}$$
$$U_{XY} \to 2\, (-1)^{\alpha}\, \alpha\, (\alpha+\beta)\, \bar{Y}^{-4\beta-3}\, y_n^\beta\, \left[(-1)^{\alpha}\, U_{\mathcal{O}^2}\, y_n^\beta + U_{\mathcal{O}}\, \bar{Y}^{2\beta}\right]\,; \tag{E.7}$$
$$U_{YY} \to 2\, (-1)^{\alpha}\, (\alpha+\beta)\, \bar{Y}^{-4\beta-2}\, y_n^\beta\, \left[2\, (-1)^{\alpha}\, (\alpha+\beta)\, U_{\mathcal{O}^2}\, y_n^\beta + (2\,\alpha+2\,\beta+1)\, U_{\mathcal{O}}\, \bar{Y}^{2\beta}\right]\,; \tag{E.8}$$

where we have used only that $\bar{X} = -\bar{Y}^2$ but not he fact that $\bar{y}_n = 0$. In the case of the Lagrangian $U(\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta n},\, \tau_n)$ we have

$$M_0 = \frac{2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\, (-1)^{\alpha}\, a^2\, \beta\, \bar{Y}^{-4\beta}\, y_n^\beta\, \left[2\, (-1)^{\alpha}\, \beta\, U_{\mathcal{O}^2}\, y_n^\beta + (2\,\beta+1)\, U_{\mathcal{O}}\, \bar{Y}^{2\beta}\right] = 0\,; \tag{E.9}$$

irrespective of the value of β. Thus, both when $\beta = 0$ and only the operators $\mathcal{O}_\alpha = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha 0 n}$ are present (see section 8 and section 10) and also for $\beta > 0$, due to the fact that $\bar{y}_n = 0$.

References

[1] P. A. R. Ade et al. Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 594:A13, 2016, 1502.01589.

[2] M. Kopp, C. Skordis, and Dan D.B. Thomas. An extensive investigation of the Generalised Dark Matter model. 2016, 1605.00649.

[3] H. Leutwyler. Nonrelativistic effective Lagrangians. *Phys. Rev.*, D49:3033–3043, 1994, hep-ph/9311264.

[4] H. Leutwyler. Phonons as goldstone bosons. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 70:275–286, 1997, hep-ph/9609466.

[5] N. Arkani-Hamed, H. Georgi, and M.D. Schwartz. Effective field theory for massive gravitons and gravity in theory space. *Annals Phys.*, 305:96–118, 2003, hep-th/0210184.

[6] G. Ballesteros, D. Comelli, and L. Pilo. Massive and modified gravity as self-gravitating media. *Phys. Rev.*, D94(12):124023, 2016.

[7] V. A. Rubakov and P. G. Tinyakov. Infrared-modified gravities and massive gravitons. *Phys. Usp.*, 51:759–792, 2008, 0802.4379.

[8] S. L. Dubovsky. Phases of massive gravity. *JHEP*, 10:076, 2004, hep-th/0409124.

[9] S. Dubovsky, T. Gregoire, A. Nicolis, and R. Rattazzi. Null energy condition and superluminal propagation. *JHEP*, 03:025, 2006, hep-th/0512260.

[10] S. Dubovsky, L. Hui, A. Nicolis, and D.T. Son. Effective field theory for hydrodynamics: thermodynamics, and the derivative expansion. *Phys. Rev.*, D85:085029, 2012, 1107.0731.

[11] A. Nicolis. Low-energy effective field theory for finite-temperature relativistic superfluids. 2011, 1108.2513.

[12] G. Ballesteros and B. Bellazzini. Effective perfect fluids in cosmology. *JCAP*, 1304:001, 2013, 1210.1561.

[13] A. Nicolis, R. Penco, and R. Rosen. Relativistic Fluids, Superfluids, Solids and Supersolids from a Coset Construction. *Phys. Rev.*, D89(4):045002, 2014, 1307.0517.

[14] A. Nicolis nand R. Penco, F. Piazza, and R. Rattazzi. Zoology of condensed matter: Framids, ordinary stuff, extra-ordinary stuff. *JHEP*, 06:155, 2015, 1501.03845.

[15] G. Ballesteros, D. Comelli, and L. Pilo. Thermodynamics of perfect fluids from scalar field theory. *Phys. Rev.*, D94:025034, 2016.

[16] V.A. Rubakov. Lorentz-violating graviton masses: Getting around ghosts, low strong coupling scale and VDVZ discontinuity. 2004, hep-th/0407104.

[17] S. L. Dubovsky, P. G. Tinyakov, and I. I. Tkachev. Massive graviton as a testable cold dark matter candidate. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94:181102, 2005, hep-th/0411158.

[18] D. Blas, D. Comelli, F. Nesti, and L. Pilo. Lorentz Breaking Massive Gravity in Curved Space. *Phys. Rev.*, D80:044025, 2009, 0905.1699.

[19] S. Endlich, A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi, and J. Wang. The Quantum mechanics of perfect fluids. *JHEP*, 04:102, 2011, 1011.6396.

[20] G. Ballesteros. The effective theory of fluids at NLO and implications for dark energy. *JCAP*, 1503(03):001, 2015, 1410.2793.

[21] B. Gripaios and D. Sutherland. Quantum Field Theory of Fluids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 114(7):071601, 2015, 1406.4422.

[22] B. Carter. Covariant Theory of Conductivity in Ideal Fluid or Solid Media. *Lect. Notes Math.*, 1385:1–64, 1989.

[23] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifishitz. *Fluid Mechanics*. Elsevier, 1980.

[24] N. Andersson and G. L. Comer. Relativistic fluid dynamics: Physics for many different scales. *Living Rev. Rel.*, 10:1, 2007, gr-qc/0605010.

[25] I. M. Khalatnikov and V. V. Lebedev. Relativistic hydrodynamics of a superfluid liquid. *Physics Letters A*, 91(2):70–72, 1982.

[26] B. Carter and I. M. Khalatnikov. Equivalence of convective and potential variational derivations of covariant superfluid dynamics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 45:4536–4544, Jun 1992.

[27] G. L. Comer, Patrick Peter, and N. Andersson. Multi-fluid cosmology: An illustration of fundamental principles. *Phys. Rev.*, D85:103006, 2012, 1111.5043.

[28] H. Callen. Thermodynamics and an introduction to thermostatistics, 2nd edition. *Wiley*, 1985.

[29] J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt, and M. S. Turner. Spontaneous Creation of Almost Scale - Free Density Perturbations in an Inflationary Universe. *Phys. Rev.*, D28:679, 1983.

[30] H. Kodama and M. Sasaki. Cosmological Perturbation Theory. *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.*, 78:1, 1984.

[31] M. Akhshik, R. Emamiand H. Firouzjahi, and Y. Wang. Statistical Anisotropies in Gravitational Waves in Solid Inflation. *JCAP*, 1409:012, 2014, 1405.4179.

[32] M. Akhshik, H. Firouzjahi, and S. Jazayeri. Effective Field Theory of non-Attractor Inflation. *JCAP*, 1507(07):048, 2015, 1501.01099.

[33] X. Chen, H. Firouzjahi, M. H. Namjoo, and M. Sasaki. Fluid Inflation. *JCAP*, 1309:012, 2013, 1306.2901.

[34] L. Bordin, P. Creminelli, M. Mirbabayi, and J. Norea. Solid Consistency. *JCAP*, 1703(03):004, 2017, 1701.04382.

[35] S. Weinberg. Adiabatic modes in cosmology. *Phys. Rev.*, D67:123504, 2003, astro-ph/0302326.

[36] S. Weinberg. Cosmology. *Oxford Univ. Press*, 2008.

[37] D. Comelli, F. Nesti, and L. Pilo. Cosmology in General Massive Gravity Theories. *JCAP*, 1405:036, 2014, 1307.8329.

[38] D. Comelli, F. Nesti, and L. Pilo. Massive gravity: a General Analysis. *JHEP*, 07:161, 2013, 1305.0236.

[39] D. Comelli, F. Nesti, and L. Pilo. Weak Massive Gravity. *Phys. Rev.*, D87(12):124021, 2013, 1302.4447.

[40] D. Comelli, M. Crisostomi, F. Nesti, and L. Pilo. Degrees of Freedom in Massive Gravity. *Phys. Rev.*, D86:101502, 2012, 1204.1027.

[41] D. Comelli, F. Nesti, and L. Pilo. Nonderivative Modified Gravity: a Classification. 1411(11):018, 2014.

[42] C. Lin and L. Z. Labun. Effective Field Theory of Broken Spatial Diffeomorphisms. *JHEP*, 03:128, 2016, 1501.07160.

[43] L. Delacrétaz, Alberto Nicolis, Riccardo Penco, and Rachel A. Rosen. Wess-Zumino Terms for Relativistic Fluids, Superfluids, Solids, and Supersolids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 114(9):091601, 2015, 1403.6509.

[44] S. Matarrese. On the Classical and Quantum Irrotational Motions of a Relativistic Perfect Fluid. 1. Classical Theory. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.*, A401:53–66, 1985.

[45] G. L. Comer and D. Langlois. Hamiltonian formulation for relativistic superfluids. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 11:709–721, 1994.

[46] L. Berezhiani and J. Khoury. Theory of dark matter superfluidity. *Phys. Rev.*, D92:103510, 2015, 1507.01019.

[47] R.-G. Cai and S.-J. Wang. Dark matter superfluid and DBI dark energy. *Phys. Rev.*, D93(2):023515, 2016, 1511.00627.

[48] M. Milgrom. A Modification of the Newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis. *Astrophys. J.*, 270:365–370, 1983.

[49] L. Berezhiani and J. Khoury. Dark Matter Superfluidity and Galactic Dynamics. *Phys. Lett.*, B753:639–643, 2016, 1506.07877.

[50] J. Kijowski and G. Magli. Unconstrained Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity with thermoelastic sources. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 15:3891–3916, 1998, gr-qc/9709021.

[51] M. Bucher and D. N. Spergel. Is the dark matter a solid? *Phys. Rev.*, D60:043505, 1999, astro-ph/9812022.

[52] R. A. Battye, B. Carter, E. Chachoua, and A. Moss. Rigidity and stability of cold dark solid universe model. *Phys. Rev.*, D72:023503, 2005, hep-th/0501244.

[53] R. A. Battye and J. A. Pearson. Massive gravity, the elasticity of space-time and perturbations in the dark sector. *Phys. Rev.*, D88(8):084004, 2013, 1301.5042.

[54] J. A. Pearson. Material models of dark energy. *Annalen Phys.*, 526:318–339, 2014, 1403.1213.

[55] V. Balek and M. kovran. Cosmological perturbations in the presence of a solid with positive pressure. 2014, 1401.7004.

[56] J. Kang and A. Nicolis. Platonic solids back in the sky: Icosahedral inflation. *JCAP*, 1603(03):050, 2016, 1509.02942.

[57] L. Alberte, M. Baggioli, A. Khmelnitsky, and O. Pujolas. Solid Holography and Massive Gravity. *JHEP*, 02:114, 2016, 1510.09089.

[58] D. T. Son. Effective Lagrangian and topological interactions in supersolids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94:175301, 2005, cond-mat/0501658.

[59] S. Endlich, A. Nicolis, and J. Wang. Solid Inflation. *JCAP*, 1310:011, 2013, 1210.0569.

[60] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso, and A. Ricciardone. Anisotropy in solid inflation. *JCAP*, 1308:022, 2013, 1306.4160.

[61] M. Akhshik, R. Emami, H. Firouzjahi, and Y. Wang. Statistical Anisotropies in Gravitational Waves in Solid Inflation. *JCAP*, 1409:012, 2014, 1405.4179.

[62] N. Bartolo, M. Peloso, A. Ricciardone, and C. Unal. The expected anisotropy in solid inflation. *JCAP*, 1411(11):009, 2014, 1407.8053.

[63] A. Ricciardone and G. Tasinato. Primordial gravitational waves in supersolid inflation. 2016, 1611.04516.

[64] M. H. Namjoo, H. Firouzjahi, and M. Sasaki. Violation of non-Gaussianity consistency relation in a single field inflationary model. *Europhys. Lett.*, 101:39001, 2013, 1210.3692.

[65] X. Chen, H. Firouzjahi, M. H. Namjoo, and M. Sasaki. A Single Field Inflation Model with Large Local Non-Gaussianity. *Europhys. Lett.*, 102:59001, 2013, 1301.5699.

[66] A. Gruzinov. Elastic inflation. *Phys. Rev.*, D70:063518, 2004, astro-ph/0404548.

[67] M.V. Bebronne and P.G. Tinyakov. Massive gravity and structure formation. *Phys. Rev.*, D76:084011, 2007, 0705.1301.